

A Proof of the L-Optimality Criterion Theorem

Kazuo Murota
University of Tokyo
July 25, 2004

An alternative proof is given to the theorem of L-optimality criterion on the basis of a property of an L^{\natural} -convex function labelled (L^{\natural} -APR[\mathbf{Z}]).

Theorem 1 (L-optimality criterion [1, Th.5.12], [2, Th.7.14]) *For an L^{\natural} -convex function $g \in \mathcal{L}^{\natural}[\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}]$ and $p \in \text{dom } g$, we have*

$$g(p) \leq g(q) \quad (\forall q \in \mathbf{Z}^V) \iff g(p) \leq g(p \pm \chi_Y) \quad (\forall Y \subseteq V). \quad (1)$$

Recall ([1, Th.5.15], [2, Th.7.7]) the following property of an L^{\natural} -convex function g :

(L^{\natural} -APR[\mathbf{Z}]) For any $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}^V$ with $\text{supp}^+(p - q) \neq \emptyset$, it holds that

$$g(p) + g(q) \geq g(p - \chi_X) + g(q + \chi_X),$$

where $X = \arg \max_{v \in V} \{p(v) - q(v)\}$.

This implies the following:

$$p, q \in \text{dom } g, g(p) > g(q) \implies g(p) > \min \left(\min_{Y \subseteq \text{supp}^+(q-p)} g(p + \chi_Y), \min_{Z \subseteq \text{supp}^-(q-p)} g(p - \chi_Z) \right), \quad (2)$$

as stated below. Theorem 1 (\iff) is an immediate consequence of this, whereas (\implies) is obvious.

Proposition 2 *An L^{\natural} -convex function $g \in \mathcal{L}^{\natural}[\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}]$ satisfies (2).*

(Proof) If $\text{supp}^+(q - p)$ is nonempty, (L^{\natural} -APR[\mathbf{Z}]) applied to (q, p) implies the existence of $Y_1 \subseteq \text{supp}^+(q - p)$ such that

$$g(q) \geq [g(p + \chi_{Y_1}) - g(p)] + g(q_2),$$

where $q_2 = q - \chi_{Y_1}$. If $\text{supp}^+(q_2 - p)$ is nonempty, (L^h-APR[**Z**]) applied to (q_2, p) implies the existence of $Y_2 \subseteq \text{supp}^+(q_2 - p)$ such that

$$g(q_2) \geq [g(p + \chi_{Y_2}) - g(p)] + g(q_3),$$

where $q_3 = q_2 - \chi_{Y_2} = q - \chi_{Y_1} - \chi_{Y_2}$. Repeating this, we obtain $q' = q - \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{Y_i}$ with $Y_i \subseteq \text{supp}^+(q - p)$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) such that $q'(v) = p(v)$ for $v \in \text{supp}^+(q - p)$, $q'(v) = q(v)$ for $v \in V \setminus \text{supp}^+(q - p)$, and

$$g(q) \geq g(q') + \sum_{i=1}^m [g(p + \chi_{Y_i}) - g(p)]. \quad (3)$$

By the similar procedure starting with (p, q') we obtain $Z_j \subseteq \text{supp}^-(q - p)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) such that $p = q' + \sum_{j=1}^l \chi_{Z_j}$ and

$$g(q') \geq g(p) + \sum_{j=1}^l [g(p - \chi_{Z_j}) - g(p)]. \quad (4)$$

Adding (3) and (4) we obtain

$$g(q) \geq g(p) + \sum_{i=1}^m [g(p + \chi_{Y_i}) - g(p)] + \sum_{j=1}^l [g(p - \chi_{Z_j}) - g(p)].$$

Since $g(q) < g(p)$, we have that $g(p + \chi_{Y_i}) - g(p) < 0$ for some i or $g(p - \chi_{Z_j}) - g(p) < 0$ for some j . (END)

NOTE: Compare Proposition 2 above with the similar statement for an M-convex function f given in [1, Prop.4.15], [2, Prop.6.23]:

$$\begin{aligned} & x, y \in \text{dom } f, f(x) > f(y) \\ \implies & f(x) > \min_{u \in \text{supp}^+(x-y)} \min_{v \in \text{supp}^-(x-y)} f(x - \chi_u + \chi_v). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

References

- [1] K. Murota: *Discrete Convex Analysis—An Introduction* (in Japanese), Kyoritsu Publishing Co., Tokyo, 2001.
- [2] K. Murota: *Discrete Convex Analysis*, SIAM Monographs on Discrete Mathematics and Applications, Vol. 10, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2003.